INTRODUCTION

Chicago Coalition for the Homeless (CCH) has developed a new methodology for estimating the homeless population in Chicago throughout the year. CCH uses a definition of homelessness which incorporates all those considered homeless under the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD) definition, and also incorporates portions of the U.S. Department of Education (DOE) McKinney-Vento definition of homelessness. The DOE definition includes people who are living “doubled-up,” which means staying with others due to loss of housing or economic hardship. CCH includes doubled-up households in our definition because it more accurately captures the way most people experience homelessness.

The methodology uses the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey data to estimate the number of doubled-up individuals in Chicago in 2016. It also uses data from the city’s Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) from 2016 to count those served in the shelter system. It then removes duplicates by identifying individuals who experienced both forms of homelessness during the year.

(See pages 3-4 for a more detailed explanation on the definition and methodology.)

SPOTLIGHT ON DOUBLING UP:
HOW THE MAJORITY OF HOUSEHOLDS EXPERIENCE HOMELESSNESS

This new analysis looks at households accessing the shelter system and also those who are doubled-up, which is defined as those who are sharing the housing of others due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason. Our analysis found that in 2016, 80% of people experiencing homelessness in Chicago were living doubled-up. For homeless people living in families with children, that number was 90%.

Families that access the shelter system and those living doubled-up lose their housing for similar reasons: domestic violence, divorce, loss of a job, medical bills. But the majority of families will choose to move in with others when possible, rather than taking their children to a shelter. However, these situations are temporary and frequently break down due to overcrowding, tensions that develop, and fear of the primary tenant losing their housing. Many doubled-up families move multiple times, going in and out of the shelter system.

➔ 50% of homeless families that were served in the shelter system in 2016 had been living doubled-up with friends or family either prior to or after entering the shelter system within that same year. (HMIS 2016)

➔ 56% of homeless families surveyed by CCH in 2015 reported staying in 2-3 places over the span of three years and nearly 20% stayed in 4-6 places.

“DOUBLED-UP IS BEING HOMELESS, BECAUSE ANYTIME THE PERSON YOU ARE STAYING WITH CAN DECIDE TO PUT YOU OUT. IT IS LIKE LIVING ON THE EDGE.”
-MARKELL LEE, 17
Dominique Moore and her children lived doubled-up with two cousins. The difficult experience eventually led them to move to a family shelter.

“I found out that I would be treated better by strangers than I would by my own family. You’re trying to progress and get on your feet, but people make you feel obligated. You realize you can be put out at any moment.”

Dominique, 27, slept on a couch with her children, ages 4 and 7. She bought groceries the cousins shared and helped pay household bills. After four months, Dominique turned to Madonna House.

Looking back, being doubled-up proved more stressful than 18 months in a shelter. The shelter even gave Dominique a key to her family’s bedroom. When living with her cousins, “we had to wait at the library or sit on the front porch” because Dominique was locked out when they weren’t home.

“Being doubled-up has the same impact on a child. My son wasn’t happy staying in someone else’s home, knowing we weren’t being treated well. It was obvious – he kept saying he wanted to go to his own house.”

With help from Catholic Charities, Dominique’s family received a year-long rent subsidy to move into a west suburban apartment. She found a new job with a housecleaning service, and finished a two-month program to train as a personal banker.

Emilia, 19, tried to remain strong for her mom and younger brother, but her living situation was “uncomfortable and stressful.”

Fifteen people crowded into a 4-bedroom house. Emilia’s family slept in the living room. With so many people, they often ran low on food, and it was too noisy to do homework -- people would come and go, TVs were playing.

“We lived in a public space. There was nothing we could do,” she said.

Emilia’s grades and school attendance suffered. “We would get three to four hours of sleep, sometimes one.”

Emilia’s mother worked 12-hour shifts at a factory, a job that did not get her home until 3 a.m. Coping with health issues, her mother quit the factory, instead supporting the family by selling bracelets and babysitting. Emilia helped her mom with a fast-food job.

Better yet, when Emilia graduated last spring, her mother surprised them with an apartment of their own. Emilia helps pay the rent and utilities through her new job at a women’s clothing store, and recently began school to train as a certified nursing assistant. “I’ve been really happy in my life,” she said.

The total unduplicated count of people homeless in Chicago throughout 2016 is 80,384. 80% of them were living doubled-up.

- According to data from the American Communities Survey, 64,114 people were living doubled up in Chicago in 2016. Of those, 55.5% were black, 6.5% white, 33.1 Hispanic/Latino, and 4.9% other groups.

- According to 2016 HMIS data, 23,808 people were served in the shelter system. Of those, 7,538 had been living doubled-up with family or friends at some point that year. 76% of shelter residents were black, 19% white, and 5% other. 11% reported being Hispanic/Latino.

- Of the 35,435 homeless people living in families with children, 90% (31,923) were doubled up.

- Of the 8,860 homeless family households, 88% (7,821) were doubled-up.

- Of the 44,757 homeless individuals, 72% (32,191) were doubled-up.

- Unaccompanied homeless youth ages 14-24 totaled 11,067. Of those, 85% (9,455) were doubled up.
80% OF THE 80,384 HOMELESS CHICAGOANS IN 2016 WERE DOUBLED-UP

44,757 HOMELESS INDIVIDUALS 72% DOUBLED-UP

35,435 HOMELESS PEOPLE LIVING IN 8,860 FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN 90% DOUBLED-UP

11,067 UNACCOMPANIED HOMELESS YOUTH AGES 14-24 85% DOUBLED-UP

DEFINITION

The definition of homelessness for the purposes of this estimate is as follows:

From the HUD definition
For purposes of this Act, the term “homeless” or “homeless individual” includes—

(1) an individual who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence; and

(2) an individual who has a primary nighttime residence that is—

(A) a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designed to provide temporary living accommodations (including welfare hotels, congregate shelters, and transitional housing for the mentally ill);

(B) an institution that provides a temporary residence for individuals intended to be institutionalized; or

(C) a public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as, a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings.

And

From the DOE definition
(Note: The Department of Education definition refers specifically to children and youth, but we modified the definition to apply to people of all ages. For the full definition, see: http://nche.ed.gov/legis/mv-def.php)

(1) those who are sharing the housing of other persons due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason; are living in motels, hotels, trailer parks, or camping grounds due to the lack of alternative adequate accommodations; are living in emergency or transitional shelters; or are abandoned in hospitals
A Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) is the information system that is federally mandated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and is locally-administered to record and analyze client, service, and housing data for individuals and families who are homeless or at risk of homelessness.

For the analysis, we requested an unduplicated count of people served in the homeless service system in the calendar year 2016. This included everyone served in all program types, with the exception of people who were exclusively served in Permanent Supportive Housing for the entire year. Those people would not be considered homeless at any time during that year. However, we did include people in programs with temporary rental subsidies. The HMIS data also included anyone living on the street who had been in contact with a service provider.

We also obtained data that showed whether any of the above households had lived with friends or family at any time during the calendar year that they were served in the shelter system.

AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY (ACS)

The American Community Survey (ACS) is a survey given nationally each year to households throughout the country by the U.S. Census Bureau in order to track changes in the U.S. landscape on a yearly basis between the years they do the full census. Recently, an analysis of ACS data by the national Bureau of Labor Statistics found an increase in what they described as “doubled-up” households in the aftermath of the housing bubble and resulting recession. They defined doubled-up as any additional adult in the household who was not the head of household or their spouse or partner. After reading these reports, CCH realized that with a more refined definition, ACS data could be used to estimate those who met the homeless definition of doubled-up. Of note, however, is that the ACS does not explicitly ask if members of the household are living there due to loss of housing or economic hardship. Therefore, our methodology was designed to determine who was most likely living in a doubled-up homeless situation. Because we could not know for certain, when the data was ambiguous, we erred on the side of not including someone as homeless, which resulted in a conservative estimate.

This analysis defines doubled-up as additional family members or non-relatives in a household who are not minor children, step-children, spouses or unmarried partners of the head of household and the household is at 125% or below of the federal poverty level.

We created a number of exclusions that we thought would not typically be viewed as a homeless situation:

- Single adult children living with parents who often move back home for reasons other than economic hardship
- Relatives of the head of household who were over 65 who often live with family due to health reasons
- Grandchildren living with grandparents for whom the grandparent claims responsibility for basic needs
- Roommates, lodgers, and people in institutions or group lodgings

We did include adult children living with parents who had children of their own, but if they were under the age of 25, we only included them if they were living in an overcrowded situation (more than two people per bedroom).

HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (HMIS)

A Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) is the information system that is federally mandated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and is locally-administered to record and analyze client, service, and housing data for individuals and families who are homeless or at risk of homelessness.

For the analysis, we requested an unduplicated count of people served in the homeless service system in the calendar year 2016. This included everyone served in all program types, with the exception of people who were exclusively served in Permanent Supportive Housing for the entire year. Those people would not be considered homeless at any time during that year. However, we did include people in programs with temporary rental subsidies. The HMIS data also included anyone living on the street who had been in contact with a service provider.

We also obtained data that showed whether any of the above households had lived with friends or family at any time during the calendar year that they were served in the shelter system.

UNDUPLICATED TOTALS

For the total figures for the analysis, we added the number of doubled-up individuals from the ACS analysis to the number served in the shelter system. We then subtracted anyone who had been sheltered but also had been living with friends and family at any time during the calendar year to avoid duplication. The data includes people living on the street who were in contact with service providers and therefore entered into HMIS. We did not attempt to estimate those living on the street or other places not meant for human habitation who had no contact with service providers. This estimate also does not include data on those living in institutions such as jails or mental institutions who were homeless prior to entering.

“BEING DOUBLED-UP IS LIKE WALKING ON GLASS WITHOUT BREAKING IT.”
-LESTER JONES, 44

ACS data analysis completed by The Social IMPACT Research Center of Heartland Alliance